



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/657,722		09/08/2000	Pramod K. Srivastava	8449-115-999	8697
20583	7590	07/02/2004		EXAMINER	
JONES DA			YAEN, CHRISTOPHER H		
222 EAST 41ST ST NEW YORK, NY 10017				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
11511 1010	.,			1642	
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/657,722	SRIVASTAVA, PRAMOD K.					
navioury notion	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Christopher H Yaen	1642					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 June 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application) a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a					
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing in FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF The date on which the petition under 37 CFI extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply one later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension unt of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or					
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>04 June 2004</u> . App 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below);					
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);						
 (c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or 	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE:							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment					
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See	reconsideration has been consideration Sheet.	dered but does NOT place the					
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly					
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>19,22-31 and 52-55</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. Other:	(a)						
GARY B	" . NICKOL, PH.D. ARY EXAMINER	Christopher Yaen Art Unit 1642					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant do not provide any further arguments to rebut the rejections of record.